

Remarks:

The following remarks are numbered to correspond to the numbers used by the Examiner in his office action of 23 August 2006.

1-5: (no remarks)

6-7: This paper cancels claims 1-7; thus the objection to claim 6 is now moot.

8-10: This paper cancels claims 1-7; thus the rejection of claim 2 under 35 USC 112 is now moot.

11-14: This paper cancels claims 1-7 and 18; thus the rejection of claim 1-7 and 18 under 35 USC 101 is now moot. Independent Claim 15 is amended by this paper to clarify Applicant's invention as a "computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having computer usable program code tangibly embedded therein." Applicant respectfully holds that claim 15, as amended here, is directed toward statutory subject matter, and so therefore are dependent claims 16 and 17.

15-16: Applicant's claims 1-7 and 11-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Adobe Acrobat Reader. Claims 1-7 and 18 are canceled by this paper. Claims 11, 14, and 15 are independent claims; claims 12 and 13 depend on claim 11. With this paper, Applicant amends independent claims 11, 14, and 15 to more clearly point out Applicant's invention, by changing the final element of each of these claims to show the use of a "fix" button and a "form shift" button. Support for these appears in paragraphs 40 and 43, respectively, of Applicant's specification. Since the Adobe reference does not teach or suggest either of these functions, and since Adobe cannot accomplish their joint function, Applicant respectfully holds that independent claims 11, 14, and 15, as amended here, are allowable, and therefore also dependent claims 12 and 13.

17-18: Applicant's claims 8-10 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Adobe Acrobat Reader. Claim 8 is an independent claim; claims 9 and 10 depend on claim 8. With this paper, Applicant amends independent claim 8 to more clearly point out Applicant's invention, by changing the final element of this claim to show the use of a "fix" button and a "form shift" button. Support for these appears in paragraphs 40 and 43, respectively, of Applicants specification. Since the Adobe reference does not teach or suggest either of these functions, and since Adobe cannot accomplish their joint function, Applicant respectfully holds that independent claim 8, as amended here, is allowable, and therefore also dependent claims 9 and 10.

Summary:

For the aforementioned reasons, Applicant respectfully holds that independent claims 8, 11, 14, and 15 are allowable as amended here, and therefore also dependent claims 9, 10, 12, and 13. Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner, and respectfully requests that the application as amended now pass to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

By: David R. Irvin

David R. Irvin

Reg. No. 42,682